

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/602,740 06/23/2000		06/23/2000	Markus Pompejus	BGI-126CP	1632
959	7590	06/15/2006		EXAMINER	
	& COCK	FIELD	FRONDA, CHRISTIAN L		
	E STREET , MA 021	09		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1652	
				DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/602,740	POMPEJUS ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Christian L. Fronda	1652		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY INSIGN THE MAILING DAY INSIGN THE MAILING DAY INSIGN THE MAILING DAY INSIGN THE MORE THAN THE MAILING DAY INSIGN THE MORE THAN THE MORE T	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□		action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat	Claim(s) 1,4,9-14,17,25,26,28,29,31-33,39 and 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,4,9-14,17,25,26,28,29,31-33,39 and Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. 1 40 is/are rejected. r election requirement.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the correction Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the correction of the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachmen —	it(s)				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1, 4, 9-14, 17, 25, 26, 28, 29, 31-33, 39, and 40 are pending and under consideration in this Office Action.
- 2. The rejection of claims 12-14 under 35 USC 101 as being directed toward non-statutory subject matter has been withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment to the claims filed 03/22/2006, where the claims now encompass isolated host cells.
- 3. The rejection of claims 5, 6, 9-14, 17, 39 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to meet the enablement requirement has been withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment to the claims and arguments filed 03/22/2006.
- 4. The Examiner acknowledges applicants' request of foreign priority in the amendment filed 06/30/2005. However, as stated in the previous Office Action no certified copies of the German patent applications have been received. Thus, as previously noted foreign priority is not granted.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd Paragraph

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1, 9-14, 17, 25, 26, 28, 29, 31-33, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the phrase "less than about 5 kb of nucleotide sequences" which renders the claim vague and indefinite. The metes and bounds of the claim are not certain since the meaning of the phrase is not clear. It is uncertain whether 5 kb of nucleotide sequences actually flanks SEQ ID NO: 1.

No patentable weight is given to this limitation since the metes and bounds of the limitation are not known. The phrase "less than about" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree of "less than about", and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claims 9-14, 17, 25, 26, 28, 29, and 31-33 which depend from claim 1 are also rejected because they do not correct the defect of claim 1.

Claim 40 recites the phrase "said nucleic acid molecule comprises less than about 4 kb, 3 kb, 2, kb, 1kb, 0.5 kb or 0.1 kb of nucleotide sequences" which renders the claim vague and indefinite. The metes and bounds of the claim are not certain since the meaning of the phrase is not clear.

No patentable weight is given to this limitation since the metes and bounds of the limitation are not known. The phrase "less than about" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree of "less than about", and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112, 1st Paragraph

- 7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 8. Claims 1, 9-14, 17, 25, 26, 28, 29, 31-33, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- Claim 1 as amended in the amendment file 03/22/2006 recites the following limitation: "said nucleic acid molecule comprises less than about 5 kb of nucleotide sequence which naturally flank the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1".

Furthermore, claim 40 recites following limitation:

"said nucleic acid molecule comprises less than about 4 kb, 3 kb, 2, kb, 1kb, 0.5 kb or 0.1 kb of nucleotide sequences which naturally flank the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 or the nucleotide sequence encoding the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2".

According to MPEP § 2163.06:

"If new matter is added to the claims, the examiner should reject the claims under 35

U.S.C. 112, first paragraph - written description requirement. *In re Rasmussen*, 650 F.2d 1212, 211 USPQ 323 (CCPA 1981).

The specification as originally filed does not disclose the above limitations and thus is considered new matter. Thus, the claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 9-14, 17, 25, 26, 28, 29, and 31-33 which depend from claim 1 are also rejected because they do not correct the defect of claim 1.

9. Claims 25, 26, 28, 29, 31-33 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Applicants have not put forth arguments to address this ground of rejection. Thus, the rejection is maintained and reiterated below.

The nature and breadth of the claims encompass methods for making widely varying fine chemicals such as amino acids, nucleotides, aromatic compounds, vitamins, and proteins.

While the specification provides general guidance for transforming isolated *C. glutamicum* host cells with a vector containing the claimed insolated nucleic acid, the specification does not provide specific guidance, prediction, and working examples for any fine chemical that can be produced by culturing said isolated *C. glutamicum* host cells. Thus, an undue amount of trial and error experimentation must be preformed to search and screen for any fine chemical that can be produced by culturing the recited cell transformed with the claimed vector comprising the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1. Such experimentation is outside the realm of routine experimentation.

In view of the above considerations, the specification does not provide enablement for the claimed methods for making a widely varying fine chemicals such as amino acids, nucleotides, aromatic compounds, vitamins, and proteins.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

Application/Control Number: 09/602,740 Page 5

Art Unit: 1652

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

11. Claims 1, 4, 9-14, 17, 25, 26, 28, 29, 31-33, 39, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dunican et al. (US Patent 6,797,509).

Applicants' arguments filed 03/22/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner respectfully disagrees with applicants' position that Dunican et al. fail to teach the nucleic acid molecules encoding having 6-phophogluconolactoanase activity as recited in the amended claims.

For the reasons stated above in the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite, no patentable weight is given to the phrases "less than about 5 kb of nucleotide sequences" and "said nucleic acid molecule comprises less than about 4 kb, 3 kb, 2, kb, 1kb, 0.5 kb or 0.1 kb of nucleotide sequences". Until the claims are amended, these limitations are not read into the amended claims. Thus, the claims are rejected for the reasons of record, which are reproduced below.

As stated in the previous Office Actions, Dunican et al. teach a 6995 base pair DNA sequence comprising that is 100% identical to SEQ ID NO: 1 of the claimed invention (see alignment attached to the Office Action dated 01/13/2005). The examiner takes the position that in absence of facts to the contrary the DNA taught by Dunican et al. would inherently encode a polypeptide having 6-phophogluconolactoanase activity since Dunican et al. teach a 6995 base pair DNA sequence that is 100% identical to SEQ ID NO: 1 of the claimed invention.

Since the Patent Office does not have the facilities for examining and comparing the nucleic acid molecule comprising SEQ ID NO: 1 of the instant invention to the DNA sequence taught by Dunican et al., the burden is on applicants to show that the prior art DNA taught by Dunican et al. is different from the claimed nucleic acid molecule comprising SEQ ID NO: 1. See *In re Best*, 562 F.2d 1252, 195 USPQ 430(CCPA 1977).

Conclusion

- 12. No claim is allowed.
- 13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office 09602740-100

action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian L Fronda whose telephone number is (571)272-0929. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday between 9:00AM 5:00PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura N Achutamurthy can be reached on (571)272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.
- 15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CLF

09602740-100